IDA

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES

Statistical Analyses for Single Laboratory Validation Study of Novel PFAS Measurement Method (Presentation)

Allyson M. Buytendyk Sara C. Runkel Shelley M. Cazares Janice Willey Hunter Anderson Tim Thompson

November 2021 Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

IDA Document NS D-32888 Log: H 21-000447

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES 4850 Mark Center Drive Alexandria, Virginia 22311-1882



The Institute for Defense Analyses is a nonprofit corporation that operates three Federally Funded Research and Development Centers. Its mission is to answer the most challenging U.S. security and science policy questions with objective analysis, leveraging extraordinary scientific, technical, and analytic expertise.

About This Publication

This work was conducted by the Institute for Defense Analyses under contract HQ0034-19-D-0001, Project AM-2-1528, "Validation of PFAS Detection Methods," for the Executive Director, Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERPD) and Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP), under the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment). The views, opinions, and findings should not be construed as representing the official position of either the Department of Defense or the sponsoring organization.

For More Information

Shelley M. Cazares, Project Leader scazares@ida.org, (703) 845-6792

Leonard J. Buckley, Director, Science and Technology Division lbuckley@ida.org, (703) 578-2800

Copyright Notice

© 2021 Institute for Defense Analyses 4850 Mark Center Drive, Alexandria, Virginia 22311-1882 • (703) 845-2000.

This material may be reproduced by or for the U.S. Government pursuant to the copyright license under the clause at DFARS 252.227-7013 (Feb. 2014).

Statistical Analyses for Single Laboratory Validation Study of Novel PFAS Measurement Method (374)

Allyson Buytendyk, Sara Runkel, Shelley Cazares,

Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA),

Janice Willey, Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA),

Hunter Anderson, Air Force Civil Engineer Center (AFCEC),

Tim Thompson, Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) & Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP)

Objectives

Bottom Line Up Front

- SERDP/ESTCP sponsored the validation of a novel PFAS measurement method for 8 environmental sample types: groundwater, landfill leachate, surface water, wastewater; sediment, biosolids, soil; and tissue.
- DoD tasked an independent organization (IDA) to analyze data from the single laboratory validation (SLV) study, producing summary statistics of the novel PFAS method.

IDA calculated summary statistics and automatically generated over 100 data tables in a systematic and reproducible manner using a coded Python computer script to eliminate human error. The data can now be used to support the government's SLV of the novel PFAS measurement method.





Summary of Data from Sample Native Concentration Tables Generated for the SLV Study of 40 PFAS Analytes in 8 Types of Environmental Samples



Technical Approach

Data Collected with PFAS Method

- 40 PFAS analytes and 24 PFAS isotope standards from 8 types of environmental samples: groundwater, landfill leachate, surface water, wastewater; sediment, biosolids, soil; and tissue, were measured.
- Dataset from single laboratory validation (SLV) study provided to IDA consisted of 30,000+ subsample measurements from 32 unique environmental samples.

Summary Data Tables for SLV study

- IDA developed a code to calculate summary statistics and compiled data into several categories of data tables.
- IDA verified the calculation in every column in each of the tables generated by the coded script by comparing values to manually calculated values in Excel.

Schedule:

- First set of tables delivered (May 2021)
 All 100+ tables delivered (Aug 2021)
- Final report completed (Sept 2021)



Enhancing DoD's Mission Effection

Results to Date

• Data Tables & Categories Generated

- Sample Native Concentration (35 tables)
- Sample Matrix Recovery (32 tables) -
- Media Type Matrix Recovery (11 tables)
- Extracted Internal Standard (EIS) Spike Recovery (11 tables)
- Injected Internal Standard (IIS) Spike Recovery (11 tables)
- Ongoing Precision & Recovery (OPR) Spike Recovery (4 tables)
- Limit of Quantification Verification (LOQVER) Spike Recovery (4 tables)
- Method Blank (MB) Spike Recovery (4 tables)
- Each table used a prescribed template to format the output CSV data file





Lessons Learned and Next Steps

- For 92% of the analyteenvironmental sample combinations in the *Sample Matrix Recovery Data Tables*, the average percent recovery surpassed 75%.
- The multi-laboratory validation (MLV) study of the PFAS method should continue refining evaluation metrics for the analytesample combinations.

Resources:

IDA Document D-22794, Data Compilation in Support of Single Laboratory Validation of a Novel Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS)Detection Method for Environmental Matrices,

<u>EPA Draft Method 1633</u>, Analysis of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Aqueous, Solid, Biosolids, and Tissue Samples by LC-MS/MS

Contact: Allyson Buytendyk, abuytend@ida.org





REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPC	DRT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 17. LIMITATION OF 18. NUMBER 19a. NA ABSTRACT OF 0. ABSTRACT 0. THIS PAGE 0. ABSTRACT 0. ABSTRA					ME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
PAGES				19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)	